

## **1. How can the BLM best provide equitable access to rent cabins for all including Interior residents and potential users outside of Alaska?**

- Go to the online system
- If “online-only is seriously considered, please be mindful of the number of Alaskans, particularly rural Alaskans, who lack reliable Internet service. Maybe also provide a call-in number to those un-able to register online.
- As a 20+ year user my experience has been, with a different planning (i.e booking ahead 30 days) we have mostly been able to reserve cabins we wanted.
- Non-residents can reserve 30 days in advance. Interior residents can register 45 days in advance.
- NO REFUNDS- too difficult to implement. Don’t increase cabin pricing—will limit access.
- The current system works better than Recreation.gov!
- Provide online reservations, letting us see what’s available. That will make trip planning easier.
- Online reservation would be great!
- Please do not sure recreation.gov, not easy to use!
- Online system that allows people to see availability on a calendar. This makes planning so much easier. Some online systems have you put in your desired dates but then will tell you only that at least one of your dates doesn’t work.
- The call-in or in person registration system has worked fine for years. A would be concerned an online system with bugs wouldn’t function as well as what's already in place. The registrations system does favor Alaskans and locals, though traveling to cabin in the Whites takes a fair amount of experience. Those with the necessary experience outside of Alaska wouldn’t have any problem.
- Keep 30 day limit! Online reservations might be more efficient because it would allow user to immediately view available cabins. Maintain bar on commercial rentals. Outfitters/guides can provide tent camps for clients.
- On-line reservations would be great BUT: recreation.gov stinks! Don’t go there! It is 6 months in advance-too long and, it requires a fast internet connection at 6 a.m Alaska time. Which is not me.
- Online reservations would be appreciated
- Residents can rent 3 months in advance and non-residents=1 month. This gives locals first dib’s
- Online calendar illustrating cabin availability. However, keep booking either over the phone or walk-in

- 45 day reservation, twice the price. If cancel within two weeks you get half the refund. 2 week do reservation same price, No Refund. Internet reservations should be allowed with full view of what is available.
- An online reservation system! Create incentives (partial refunds) for reservations which will not be used. This will allow them to be re-rented/reserved. AK residents and FNSB resident preference by lead time on reservations.
- Online booking system
- Pick up the State's online system-it's really good. Just no 6-7 months in advance. Not the current federal recreation.gov system!
- Definitely need an online system. 2 months in advance for AK residents, 1 for non AK residents
- Definitely an online booking system is needed. It is very hard to get an overview of which cabins are available and might fit a trip I'm planning when I don't get that information until I'm in the office or call. Make it really easy to give refunds so people can return cabins they can't use for others to enjoy
- Names on reservation s might be good. Being able to transfer a reservation make it better for our community.
- Please let's try to find a solution for non-motorized users(skiers/walkers) to go for a week-long trip with only calling in ONCE. For a seven day trip user, current reservations. It takes THREE calls, only to find out that the last cabin isn't available. No refunds available...
- Institute an online reservation system, that way there is no difference between Interior residents and others. Here is how it could work and be fair: Before the 30 day deadline, you put in a request for a reservation for a specific cabin for 1, 2, or 3 days, and for any other cabin that would be next on your planned trip. When the 30 day deadline hits, at that time if there are other requests for the same cabin, a simple computerized random drawing is immediately done and the winner is notified they won and the other folks are notified they lost. Here is where it can get tricky: When planning a trip thru the White Mountains, you have to have multiple cabins reserved. If you get the first cabin on your list but not the second or third you have to bag the whole trip. The computerized system would have to allow a reservation that wins for the first night 30 days out and then locks in the rest of the requested trip, even though those days are 31 days, 32 days 33 days etc. out. Otherwise you would have folks getting one or two nights of a proposed week long trip and it would make the trip not doable.
- I am in favor of an online booking system like what is used for Alaska DNR's cabins. That system seems to work well, and allows quick and fast booking without having to trouble the BLM staff via phone or in person.

- I would think that an online reservation set-up would make it easier than calling during business hours. It would also be nice to be able to plan out a hut-to-hut trip somehow so if you did plan a trip you didn't get stuck with no place to go within a reasonable travel distance. (Not sure how much that actually happens, but the thought always occurs to me when I can't see what availability looks like)
- I think online booking, and maybe up to 90 days ahead of time, similar to what the State of Alaska does for its cabins, works better.

I also suggest adding more cabins on the winter trails. Having a cabin every 10 miles seems reasonable and would even add to a safety factor and give people more options when planning a trip. You might request user groups to donate or assist for such a cause, and maybe raise the cabin fees \$10.

- Leave as in [is], phone in or come in
- Online registration/reservation would be awesome!
- Online cabin reservation system
- Did not know of cabins
- How about getting an accessible website like the states to rent cabins.
- People who physically show up or call are less likely to Not Show up.
- Please do not put any more cabins out there. Don't need that many people out there.
- On-line reservation system seem inevitable, although with tradeoffs (rec.gov issues)
- 30 days has always worked fine for me.
- Make mushers clean-up straw-really piling up lately. Perhaps burn?
- Make all clean up dog poo. Provide compost site for poo?
- Keep rentals on the call-in system. Not everyone has ready access to the internet at home (me). If I must rely on an internet reservation system it'll make it more difficult for me to rent if I'm competing w/ someone who stays up till 12:01 AM to register on line. The call in system works.
- Please make reservations online and let us see when they are available.
- Great cabins. Love the fuel, firewood, Axe, saw, and lantern
- Thank ya for our cabins!\
- Two hunters = six days? Unfair
- Ruts from 6x6's
- Four wheeler ruts during moose season are permanent damage.
- No internet sign up. Sign up and pay at the office.
- It would be better for us if reservations were on line.
- The system works!
- Get into the 21<sup>st</sup> century! Have online rentals like the State of Alaska Does!!

- It is a much better use of BLM's Resources to manage the land Not be a HIGH Priced Booking Agent.
- The Current Process is Extremely Wasteful of BLM Labor time as well As MINE.
- Again this the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. Like it or not, Embrace it and be effective on your time. Use a computer to do the Booking!
- Rental online
- Limit days to 3-5
- High use periods – 2 days max
- Limit "5 days off season"
- I like the idea of an online system.
- A refund if you cancel 3-5 days out would be better as well.
- I think the shorter advance reservations keep it more equitable – The state parks cabins are always booked up. I personally don't mind calling and booking with a human on the phone. They're very helpful when I call.
- Check with the system Denali National Park uses. They have a period during which you can sign up on the web months in advance, then a period closer to the use dates when it can only be done in person.

## **2. The current rule states that cabins must be reserved no more than 30 days in advance. What should the BLM consider for the appropriate amount of time to plan a trip and reserve a cabin that is equitable to all users?**

- 30 days is great! A longer period will book up all cabins way in advance regardless of conditions.
- Should be able to reserve 3 days even if the 1st day is 30 days out
- 30 days works fine, allowing enough time to plan through not so much time that cabins all booked well ahead of time
- Keep 30 day rule!
- 30 days is great!! This allows for people to plan; no so far in advance that people forget about their reservations. Chena river rec area is hard to reserve because of the amount of time ahead cabins can be rented
- 30 days is fine. In fact, may be ideal
- Booking 3 months in advance would be great. 30 days is really hard because it doesn't allow adequate planning for everybody to get time off work. Perhaps non-Alaskans should get less time to reserve a cabin (similar to the State Park System).
- 30 days is appropriate- a longer time period will lead to lots more reservations going unused. If you lead to lengthen the limit, please consider a partial full refund system for people to cancel long enough before their reservation date.
- 30 days is fine- the greater issue is the new '3-days only' rule. It effectively shuts out skiers who want to spend a week at spring break! I have no great idea how to solve it, but I was surely disappointed when we couldn't go this year because a rechargeable cabin for day 4 wasn't available.
- 6 months(like DNR + Fish and Game) work fine. 1 month ahead is too chaotic during peak times(like thanksgiving). Most of us plan 7 month in advance.
- I think 30 days has been working best. Some online systems have 6 months- that means booking your x-mas trip in June. Who is going to think about skiing at summer solstice..?
- 30 days works. But what is a problem is someone reserving them to Sunday, when they will only use them fri. to Sunday. Then I can't reserve it for Thursday.
- I would fine an un-online reservation system to be superior to phone system- easier to plan multiday trips, reserver to get an overview of what's available and when.
- 30 days is fine. For winter. Weather and trail conditions are critical for winter trips. 30 days is a little far out, but it's better than having a longer lead time. It's a good compromise. Summer rental time could be longer.
- Keep 30—it prevents no-shows and gives a slight advantage to locals.

- There should be an online system of booking available at least 3 months even 6 months in advance. Use the state system as a model. Also, a user should be able to book out further up to 1 week beyond the 3 month period so that an entire trip can be planned. Skiers have been locked out of the cabins farther in since distances between cabins is far more critical than for snow machines.
- 45 days
- Commercial rentals should not be permitted to rent cabins. If a decision is made to allow commercial operations to rent, they should have to pay considerably more. After all they are making money on a use of the cabin.
- 30 days I ok, but maybe 60 day is better. There is a possible 2 days extension to the 30 days advance reservation rule. I do not like that, 30 is 30!
- This is kind of answered above. 30 days seems fine.
- I think the current policy of only reserving 30 days out is a good one. DNR's policy of 6 months means the cabins are booked for peak times way in advance, regardless of the conditions or weather, and I expect makes it so a lot of cabins are booked but never used. So I am not in favor of changing that policy - it should remain at 30 days, or at least 30 days for peak times, Jan 1st - April 17th, and possibly weekends for the rest of the year. For example, with a 6 month reservation period, I could book cabins for early January in the fall, with no idea if it will be -40, or +40, or if there will be snow. This means that for users who are less willing to travel in less ideal conditions (I expect the majority of your cabin visitors), there will be more bookings that go unused, leaving folks willing to travel in less ideal conditions fewer cabins to book. I think a shorter reservation period causes folks to look at the conditions and weather before booking, and will lead to more utilization, but possibly fewer bookings.
- I have been under the impression that it is a scramble to call 30 days out. Would 60 or 90 help? Or would that just make it easier for a smaller group to plan that far ahead? I don't know.
- I have had trouble getting cabins during high use periods, or planning for a ski trip where we can only ski 10-20 mi per day. People with bicycles and snow machines can go much further and so are more flexible. This year we called the morning 30 days before we wanted a cabin and ALL the cabins were already filled. Calling 30 days ahead does not work to plan a multi-day trip very well when you may need 5 cabins. Some people have told me they reserve a cabin for 3 days even when they only want it for 1, so that they can call 33 days ahead instead of 30. I think online booking, and maybe 60 or 90 days ahead similar to what the State of Alaska does for its cabins works better for users planning a multi day trip

- I would allow reservation 90 days in advance. I would also say reservation must be made with a payment, and that payment would be returned if cancelled with more than 30 days in advance
- 30 days is fine.
- 30 days fine.
- 30 days-fair [E Lawler]
- Extend reservation system to 90 days in advance
- 30 days work—keep it.
- I think 45 days provides sufficient advance notice to book plane tickets. I do not like the 6-7 month Advance policy for AK state park's cabins. I think the relatively shorter reservations allow for people to judge (gamble) on the weather, whether creeks are frozen, etc. And people don't hog all the weekends 6 months in advance.
- This (30 days) is not soon enough for out of state users! It's frequently difficult to get cheat (?) tickets that late!

### **3. How should the BLM consider accommodating rentals of the cabins during high use periods such as spring break, hunting or holiday times?**

- Limit SRP's- guide use to a different zone(i.e- Cache Mt/Richards/Bear Creek)
- It would appear that the best solution would be a few more cabins...
- A shorter period in advance—like 15 days for peak periods.
- Consider adding a platform tent site in between front country cabins for arctic oven tents
- Shorten to 2 day limit during high demand periods. Continue ban on rentals by commercial users. Consider raising fees
- Currently things look fine.
- One or more additional cabins on the east-side either before or after cache.— particularly North of Cache.
- Do not make up the rules as you see fit at the time. Party Hunt!!?
- Same rules as the rest of the year , keep it simple.
- More rentals + earlier reservations should solve the problem
- Please keep the policy consistent throughout the year. Making changes during certain times of the year will only cause confusion and frustration.
- Same rules, year 'round.
- Have higher rental fees(50% more?) to cut down on cabins rented on thin speculation of use.
- Limit commercial use to certain areas during peak season. Do not increase fares- this will limit accessibility for every day users.
- The same lottery system described above would take care of this. Possibly limit to two nights during very high use times?
- During your peak periods you could rent by lottery system. I would also consider increasing the fee (100% increase) during peak periods. This would help cut down on non-use rentals, and might help pay for firewood and for more cabins!
- Same rule as the rest of the year.
- Need to enforce the rules you have! People are riding into closed areas w/ ATVs to hunt.
- Trails during hunting season in fall get heavily impassable.
- Consider closing areas to OHV use at those times-Moose Creek, for example.
- No commercial users
- Consider a spur trail (Nonmotorized) from the Summit Trail to Eleazar's-Provide another summer CABIN OPTION.
- On-line system could solve increase patrols during this time.

- I haven't done a 100-mile (or other) loop trip but I think it's something to be encouraged. Maybe dropping the max stay during those high use periods would allow more people to use the cabins.
- You could consider upping the rental fees during "high use" times! I'd look at the "carrying capacity" at each cabin. Does the area around each cabin need a "rest period" following each use. And then build in some black out no use dates after each use!

**5. The current stay limitation in the White Mountain campgrounds is 10 consecutive days. What are the things that BLM needs to consider when reviewing this rule for future planning?**

- This doesn't allow for changeover- it hogs campground space.
- Seems fine. As party members can rent a cabin back to back, cutting back on 10 days won't solve anything.
- 5 days for hunters/campers is more than enough.
- 10 days is too long in the high use times at developed campgrounds. Shorten to 5 or 6 max.
- I have never had a problem camping. I think 10 days is fine but you may want to limit it to less time during peak periods or do lottery during peak periods. You might also charge more in peak periods.
- 5 days would be a system to open the cabins up for more people. It would also benefit campgrounds and discourage "ownership".
- 10 days are good except during hunting because opportunities to use spaces are reduced by campers settling in for several days. Limit to 6 consecutive days during caribou and moose season.
- I've only use the campgrounds in the Whites once, but 10 consecutive days seems long enough.
- If the demand is really high I'd shorten the use days down to 7 or even 5.
-

## 8. What impacts to a recreational experience should the BLM consider in the next recreation management plan?

### a. for winter

- I have never participated or been involved in the event, but super races like the 100 to introduce more people to White Mountains experience. Repositioning of moose creek cabin is tremendous. (and we loved the old MC cabin as well)
- Add another cabin near Cache Mt Divide. Also, a winter trail connecting Richards+Cache would be great.
- The Whites could use more cabins or tent platforms. I don't think this would negatively impact the user experience.
- Please build more cabins with skiers in mind. That means closer to the roads and closer in between existing cabins. Most skiers really can't go 10-15 miles between cabins.
- Cabins closer together would be really great for us skiers, especially closer to the road to start, and at the far end of the loop, where the gap is too much for me to even try. – skier
- Extending the trail (and with it the cabin) system would be great (and costly). It would accommodate the increasing demand.
- Here is where I give you my pet peeves. I am sick and tired of the dog mushers leaving their dog crap all around the cabins. It is disgusting! And how can they have enough room in their sled to haul in the hay for their dogs but never enough room to haul it out? That should be a rule and it should be enforced. They are spewing invasive plant seeds all through the Whites! We don't know what is in that hay.
- Another pet peeve, the bikers and skiers never cut any firewood, have you ever seen them carry a chain saw? The snowmachiners take a half a day of their vacation and go out and cut enough firewood for all they use and usually a bunch more. Make the bikers and skiers pay more or something to compensate for the freeloading.
- The White Mountain 100 race is a world class race and organization. I think it is tremendously positive for the NRA and the BLM to showcase this amazing place to such a diverse group of folks. They come from all over the world and get to see this incredible trail system so close to Fairbanks. This race should be supported and continued.
- Keep the cabins awesome! Don't change it to such advance booking that we can't get in on a weekend. I think the fees are very reasonable and it is a real treat to enjoy the cabins on our snowmachines
- Available wood for heating purposes. Trail maintenance/condition especially in the boggy areas and stream crossings.

## **b. for fall**

- Post no shooting w/in ¼ miles of the road not just the campgrounds(all seasons)
- This past fall specifically the hunting season, there seemed to be a much larger number of hikers coming into the Nome creek valley to hike during those 15 'hunting days'. Possibly keep this in mind when planning/implementing for different recreation users around this time of year.
- Shorten the time folks can homestead in the campgrounds.
- Is wood available or not available for users to use! Water is it available or not?

## **c. for summer**

- Hiking trails around the Mt. Prindle area—no more boardwalk on summer trails, unless you're going to maintain it. Summit trail is in bad shape!
- Develop/enhance pack rafting opportunity to prompt summer use.
- Summer non-motorized trails from Wickersham Dome need more attention. The summit trail shelter is great, but the trail out there and down to beaver creek is overgrown and the boardwalk is damaged beyond repair. This would be a great option for many hikers over the summer.
- Consider adding and/or improving the existing non-motorized trails in the Nome Creek valley.
- Improve the summit trail down to beaver creek to accommodate pack rafters. Also a marker for Borealis cabin on the river would be great.
- Please don't construct wheeler trails(roads) to keep up with 4-wheeler damage. It's a losing battle as it will increase inappropriate usage further in and lead to more damage. It also changes the experience and takes funding away from cabin maintenance and construction.
- Don't ban, but limit 4 wheeler use in summer. May need to do so for fat tire bikes in future. Use of both seems to be confined to trails. Problems arise when users detour farther and farther because of damage.
- Ban ATV's on trail creek trail after lee's cabin in summer. The trail is a disaster.
- Shorten the time folks can homestead in the campgrounds.
- Motorized vs. non-motorized use is the biggest thing for me. As a non-motorized user, I often find the motorized users are looking for a different experience than I am (quiet, relatively undisturbed nature for one, including trail impact/erosion, etc.).
- Density of users
- Habitat condition – is it still intact or has the area been over used or is showing degradation of the ecosystem



#### **4. There currently is a three day consecutive stay limit in any cabin.**

#### **What are the things that BLM needs to consider when reviewing this rule for future planning?**

- 3 days seems fine
- 3 day limit is fine. What I have a problem with is that I'm not allowed to plan more than 3 days in advance if I'm booking a trip right on the opening day. That makes it impossible to book any trip longer than 3 days. Waiting another 3-4 days to book the second part of a trip is impossible. If cabins are not available the entire trip fails.
- I'd support two-day stay. Certainly no longer than 3. One day might be appropriate for closest cabins. Continue ban on rentals by commercial outfits.
- 3 day limit is fine, but we need to be able to book out a trip for up to 7 days. For skiers we need to be able to book successive cabins to keep the distances between cabins achievable.
- Three days is fine! No such thing as a party hunt.
- 3 days is fine for everyone. When reviewing it I'd ask you not shorten it less than 2 days.
- Because of distances, skiers have fewer options for multi-day trips at different cabins. So a 2 night limit might work better for skiers. But limit should be no less than 2 days.
- I believe it could be limited to two days, makes the cabin available for others sooner
- A two day limit would probably work for the majority of all users.
- Not any longer! But 2 days is very nice for taking side trips. –skier
- 3 is okay with me maybe 5 or 7 is better?
- 3 days is long enough at one cabin. 2 days is great for playing, firewood, etc. – cold weather packing un-load etc. -I'm ½ skier and ½ snow-machiner.
- 3 is good. That is plenty of time to explore the area around a cabin, then move on. Please no fewer than 2 days! Keeping it to 3 keeps more cabins available to move people.
- 2 days would work better for future use cabins (maybe just in peak season?)
- 3 day limit works
- Two-day limit
- Consider a decreased stay limit for close-to-road cabins and an increased stay limit for far-from-road cabins. Objective: turn-over and availability to skier access and high-use cabins and more long-term enjoyable stay for distant cabins.
- Three days seems about right, except during extremely high use times you could drop to 2 nights.
- I think the current limits on maximum stays are good, and should remain.

- Some people like to go and stay put, some people like to keep moving everyday. Tough to have a policy that makes it equal to everyone especially when the cabins are a bit far for more than a day's travel for most non-motorized use
- People sometimes are renting for 3 days when they only want it for one day just so they can call in earlier than 30 days from the day they want the cabin to ensure their reservation. I would allow only 2 days at one cabin, and only 1 day during peak periods.
- 4 days –Thurs , Fri, Sat, Sun
- Or Fri, Sat, Sun, Mon
- Or Mon, Tues, Wed, Thurs
- 3 days is a good limit. I'd keep it. That gives you a day in, 2 days at the cabin and 1 day out.
- I think it would be fine to lower it to two days during peak use periods. Eg for 2 weeks around spring break. I would go lower than 2 days.

**7. Fall is a busy time in the Nome Creek Valley. What should the BLM consider to accommodate the multiple users (berry picking, hiking, hunting) during this time? How can the BLM address camping for these varied users?**

- A non-motorized trail from Mt Prindle campground-help hikers (overnight or day-trippers) get away from the traffic and noise during the busy times.
- You're doing fine. Keep up the good work.
- Build a separate campground for non-motorized users on the Mt. Prindle end of the road. Perhaps on the other side of Nome creek. We used to camp at Mt. Prindle campground a lot, but late night/early morning 4-wheeling drive us away.
- -post no shooting within ¼ miles of the roads not just the campgrounds
- Other than limiting stay at the campgrounds to 5 or 6 days, nothing. If folks are not staying at the campgrounds there is plenty of room for hundreds of people.
- Designated campgrounds by type of use?
- I would prioritize users that have low conflict such as berry picking and hiking most of the year, but prioritize hunting in Sep which is the main time for hunting.
- Four-Wheelers Ruin The Snow Trails! Especially Spring and Early Fall.
- Same rules as Denali: Off trail and out of site.
- The number of mushers make the dispersed camping a challenge. The noise level for those in cabins can be far from a wilderness experience.
- Doing fine, keep up the good work.
- I don't use the area in the fall but it – seems like the hunting shouldn't be the only interest driving the boat.
- ??Difficult, Hunting does not mix with the other uses.
- No hunting within one mile of the road or campground.
- Certain days when hunting can occur and certain days when hunting does not occur. Then hikers and berry pickers can decide what day to do their thing. Days when the gamble "not" to encounter active hikers or days when they know they will not encounter hunting parties.

**6. The current stay limits for camping in dispersed areas of the White Mountains (not in a cabin or in the campground) is 10 days. What are the things that BLM needs to consider when reviewing this rule for future planning?**

- No longer than 10 days and that needs to be monitored. A group can have a big impact over that amount of time.
- Rule seems fine. Current rule-or any change in this rule is difficult to regulate anyway.
- Moose season is 15 days long. Many people want to camp in dispersed areas that whole time to use as a base for hunting and will be there 15 days if they have no hunting luck.
- This is fine!
- I doubt if there has ever been conflict or compliant about some one camping in a remote area of the NRA for “too long”. 10 days seems fine.
- What sort of impact 10 days camping has on a given area in terms of human impact and re-vegetation.
- I have never seen people trash remote sites by camping, but staying longer than 10 days might do so.
- 30 Days Allows Advance Planning. Stick with Current System.
- Make dedicated place for winter tent campers (reserved).
- I don’t use it that way but I think there are lots of other lands people can set up long term camps and the Whites might not be the most appropriate place for it. People can really trash a place with long term use.
- Break the “back country area” into subunits. Then limit the number of use permits in anyone subunit of the dispersed-use

## Other Ideas:

- Consider a non-motorized trail on the west side of Nome Creek north of Prindle campground. The valley trail is often very wet. A bench cut trail along the west side would be expensive but could last a long time.
- Dog teams need to be bedded down minimum of 100 yds from cabins-too much waste/mess
- Marking for Borealis cabin from river—not easy to find it not familiar with area.
- For hikers, Lee’s cabin is do-able. But most aren’t especially for old dudes like me. More cabins near but not on roads is a great idea.
- No commercial purpose
- Don’t allow cabin or campground rental for commercial purposes!
- The Whites is a great example of a well-managed rec. area. Keep up the good work! And thanks for the opportunity to comment.
- Cabins not for commercial use. No guiding out of the cabins.
- Consider a winter trail from Richards to Wolf Run.
- Cabins not for commercial use
- Summer hiking trails: pack rafting is becoming incredibly popular. The Nome Creek to Borealis to Limestone Jags to Nome creek loop is fantastic. Short sections of trail and boardwalk would make an exceptional loop usable, and would increase summer use of the rec area.
- Winter trail connecting Rickards cabin and Cache, going over sled dog rocks
- I agree re: pack rafting loop. Trail from Borealis to Wick T.H via Summit Trail could use improvement or maintenance. Also agree linking Richard’s cabin to overall Trail system. 10 mi average distance between cabins is reasonable- as is current situation.
- Winter trail from Cache to Richard’s would be great
- Build more cabins in the 5-10 miles distance from the trail heads so that skiers have a better chance to have a cabin open to allow skiing to cabins further in.
- Pack Birch Creek with one or two cabins. 96 mile to bridge between Central + Circle
- State a White Mountains volunteer team to break trails.